August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: EL PASO ACADEMY

Campus Name: EL PASO ACADEMY WEST Grade Span: 09 - 12

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate and Completion Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All	African			Econ
	At-Risk	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Disad
exas Assessment of Knowledge and	Skills (TA	KS) Progre	ss (Grades :	3-12)		
Analysis Groups Evaluated						
-		x		x	х	X
2006-07 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	610	254	*	194	60	139
# Tests	913	386	*	314	72	220
% Met Standard	67%	66%	*	62%	83%	63%
Student Group %	n/a	100%	*	81%	19%	57%
2005-06 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	453	267	*	238	29	181
# Tests	726	385	*	348	37	266
% Met Standard	62%	69%	*	68%	78%	68%
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	?					
Actual Change	5	-3	*	-6	5	-5
Improvement Required	_	_	_	-	_	_
Met Required Improvement?						
tate-Developed Alternative Assess Analysis Groups Evaluated	ment II (S	DAA II)(Gra	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated	ment II (S	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results		DAA II)(Gra		n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a		n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results		*		n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a	*	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results	n/a n/a n/a	* *	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a	* *	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a	* *	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * * * 83%	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: EL PASO ACADEMY

Campus Name: EL PASO ACADEMY WEST Grade Span: 09 - 12

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate and Completion Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All	African			Econ
	At-Risk	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Disad
ompletion Rate II (Grades 9-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated	x					
Class of 2006						
# Completers	141	-	-	-	-	
# Non-completers	54	-	-	-	_	
# in Class	195	-	-	-	-	
Completion Rate	72.3%	_	-	-	-	
Student Group %	n/a	-	-	-	-	•
Class of 2005						
# Completers	203	-	-	-	_	
# in Class	236	-	-	-	-	
Completion Rate	86.0%	-	-	-	-	•
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	? Y					
Actual Change	-13.7	-	-	-	_	
Improvement Required	-5.5	-	-	-	_	
Met Required Improvement?	N					
Decreases in completion rates may beginning with the 2005-06 school		o significa	ant changes	in the dro	pout def	inition
nnual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated		x		x	x	х
2005-06						
		4.5	*	41	6	2:
# Dropouts	n/a	47	**	41	U	4.
	n/a n/a	368	3	305	56	
# Dropouts	•				-	20: 10.9

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.